

S. C. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

123/5) TO

TESTIMONY OF SHARON G.

STOTT JUL 2 3 1997

FOR

MECEIVE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 96-376-S

IN RE: PALMETTO UTILITIES, INC.

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. MS. SCOTT, WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME,
BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION?

- A. My name is Sharon G. Scott. My business address is

 lll Doctors Circle, Columbia, South Carolina. I am

 an Accounting/Fiscal Analyst for the Public Service

 Commission of South Carolina.
 - Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND
 AND YOUR BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?
 - A. I received a B.S. Degree in Business Administration, with a major in Accounting from the University of South Carolina in 1983. I was employed by this Commission in July 1983, and have participated in cases involving gas, electric, telephone, and water and wastewater utilities.
 - Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING PALMETTO UTILITIES, INC?
 - A. The purpose of my testimony is to set forth, in summary form, the Staff's findings and

24

25

testimony will refer to Accounting Exhibit A. shown on page 4, of the Staff's report. The exhibit is entitled Operating Experience and Operating Marqin. Staff prepared this exhibit in compliance the Commission's standard procedures for Water and Wastewater utility rate increases.

Q. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THE FORMAT OF EXHIBIT A?

- A. Column (1) shows the Company's per book balances as of April 30, 1996. Staff verified the per book balances to the Company's books and records.
 - Column (2) shows the Staff's accounting and pro forma adjustments designed to normalize the Company's per book operations.
 - Column (3) shows Staff's computation of the Company's normalized test year prior to giving effect for the proposed increase.
 - Column (4) shows the Staff's adjustments for the proposed increase as furnished by the Utilities Department and the adjustments associated with the additional revenue.
 - Column (5) shows the Staff's computation of the normalized test year after giving effect for the accounting and pro forma adjustments and the effect of the proposed increase and its associated adjustments.

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

WOULD YOU PLEASE - ELABORATE ON 0. THE CALCULATIONS IN EXHIBIT A?

Α. Shown in column (l) is the per book operating experience of the Company's sewer operations. computed Total Income (Loss) for Return of (\$284,179) based operating revenues of \$862,973 less operating expenses of \$1,147,152. Total Income (Loss) for Return of (\$284,179) less interest expense of \$531,154 and Operating Revenues of \$862,973 produced an Operating Margin of (94.48%). In column (2),Staff's accounting and pro forma adjustments were designed to normalize the Company's test operations. .A description of each adjustment is contained Exhibit A-1. column in Ιn accounting and pro forma adjustments decreased Total Income (Loss) for Return from (\$284,179) to income of \$108,382 Operating and increased the Margin from (94.48%)to (3.64%). Column (4) shows the effect of proposed increase as computed by the Utilities Department. These adjustments are detailed Exhibit A-1 of the report. Column (5) shows per book operations as adjusted to normalize the test year and the proposed increase is added to as adjusted revenues. As a result, Total Income for Return of \$210,324 was computed by the Staff. Such income was

1	(Acct.) Per Staff - (\$ 2,895), Per Company -0
2	
3	To correct per book rate case expenses and to
4	amortize the present rate case expenses over a
5	three-year period. The Company amortized an estimate
6	of rate case expenses. (Acct.) Per Staff - \$6,532,
7	Per Company - \$11,167.
8	
9	To correct per book benefits for administrative
10	employees. A portion of the expense was left out of
11	the per book amount. (Acct.) Per Staff - \$2,475, Per
12	Company - \$2,475.
13	
14	To adjust the salary for Stan Jones to a level
15	comparable to other sewer companies of similar size.
16	Staff reduced the salary from \$112,054 to \$104,600.
17	(Acct.) Per Staff - (\$ 7,454), Per Company -0
18	
19	To remove bonus for Stan Jones. The Company does not
20	routinely give bonuses to Mr. Jones. (Acct.) Per
21	Staff - (\$ 5,000), Per Company -0
22	
23	To correct per book depreciation expense. The
24	Company inadvertently used the incorrect number for
25	the per book amount. (Acct.) Per Staff - (\$ 230,532),

1	Per Company - (\$ 230,532).
2	
3	To annualize depreciation expense using rates
4	recommended by the Utilities Department. Plant was
5	reduced by Contributions in Aid of Construction
6	before depreciation was computed. (Utilities & Acct.)
7	Per Staff - (\$ 81,383), Per Company -0
8	
9	To include depreciation expense for plant completed
10	outside the test year. (Utilities & Acct.) Per Staff
11	- \$17,136, Per Company - \$14,850.
12	
13	To include current depreciation expense on cumulative
14	tap fees. Staff depreciated the taps using the
15	cumulative depreciation rate. (Acct.) Per Staff -
16	\$17,764, Per Company -0
17	
18	To adjust payroll taxes for the reduction in Stan
19	Jones' salary. (Acct.) Per Staff - (\$ 131), Per
20	Company -0
21	
22	To adjust for customer growth. Details are shown on
23	Exhibit A-4. (Acct.) Per Staff - \$5,240, Per Company
24	-0
25	

24

25